INTERNATIONAL ALLIANCE FOR BIOLOGICAL STANDARDIZATION

WEBSITE: WWW.IABS.ORG E-MAIL: IABS@IABS.ORG

Advac 2023 Controlled Human Infectious Models discussion - human challenge experience Dr. Pieter Neels Vaccine Advice BV





Some background information from the recent evolution on human challenge trials

IABS has organised over the last years several conferences on the topic and the reports of these meetings were published:

• Strassbourg 2014

o http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2015.10.003

Washington 2017

o https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2018.02.002

• Langen 2019 (Quality of the challenge strain)

o https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2020.04.005

• Oxford 2020

o https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2020.04.004

- Webinar 2: Challenge models for COVID 19
 - o https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2020.08.006





Some background information from the recent evolution on human challenge trials (2)

IABS has organised over the last years several conferences on the topic and the reports of these meetings were published:

• Webinar 5: White paper for quality of challenge strain

- o <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2020.04.005</u>
- https://wellcome.figshare.com/articles/online_resource/Considerations_on_the_principles_of_develop ment_and_manufacturing_qualities_of_challenge_agents_for_use_in_human_infection_models/19411 838
- Mombasa 2023: Fourth Controlled Human Infection Model (CHIM) Meeting CHIMs in endemic countries, May 22-23, 2023
 - Submitted for publication
- Mombasa 2023: Fourth Controlled Human Infection Model (CHIM) Meeting, CHIM regulatory issues, May 24, 2023.
 - Submitted for publication
- Brussels 2023: Ethical Approval for Controlled Human Infectious Model Clinical Trial Protocols – a Workshop Report
 - Submitted for publication





Challenges for Human challenge models

Primum non nocere - first, do no harm:

• Is it ethically acceptable to infect someone?

What if no treatment is available?

Influenza, Dengue, Zika, COVID19:..

 \Rightarrow Benefit – Risk balance

What is the right Challenge dose?

- \Rightarrow Do we know enough about the disease?
- \Rightarrow Available knowledge of influenza versus COVID-19?

Production of challenge strain, GMP or GMP light?

 \Rightarrow How to produce Schistozomiasis parasite for challenge following GMP if

you need to produce them in snails?







Challenges for Human challenge models (2)

CHIM are challenging trials \bigcirc

- Early discussion with NRA regulatory authorities = MUST
- Early discussion with responsible Ethical authorities (EC) = MUST

Educational program is needed:

- Most regulators when confronted with first CHIM will say: no thank you.
- E.g. Summer 2023, Belgian company introduces CHIM Flu trial to Belgian NRA*:
 - The EC** gave a list of comments:
 - This is illegal
 - This is unethical

But no further motivation...



* National Regulatory Authority** Ethics Committee



Challenges for Human challenge models (3)

And what about children?

- Most paediatricians will tell you: No way!
- Is it ethical?
 - In a "normal" efficacy trial (RTS/S) of 16.000 children, a significant number of children will die in the control group due to malaria:

Time period	Outcome	R3R+R3C		R3R		R3C		C3C	
		N	n	N	n	N	n	N	n
M0-M20	Died	205	6	-	-	-	-	158	2
M0-M20	Survived with sequelae	205	0	-	-	-		158	0
M0-M20	Survived without sequelae	205	199	-	-	-	-	158	156
M21-SE	Died	-	-	76	3	103	6	76	2
M21-SE	Survived with sequelae	-		76	1	103	0	76	0
M21-SE	Survived without sequelae	-	-	76	72	103	97	76	74

• <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60721-8</u>





Challenges for Human challenge models (4)

And what about children?

- Most paediatricians will tell you: No way!
- Is it ethical?
 - In a "normal" efficacy trial (RTS/S) of 16.000 children 80 children will die in the control group due to malaria
 - In a challenge trial of 200 children, no children will die, as they will be diagnosed and treated even before they are symptomatic
- Children cannot give "informed consent"?
 - The foetus cannot either: and studies in pregnancy are ethical (since a few years ⁽²⁾)

Mam or dad or both can give consent if needed...

- Children (not infants) from a certain age can give consent





Is there a need for human challenge trials

2 reasons:

I) Proof of Concept

- In early development it is nice to see whether the new product works
- To convince investors it might be a good idea to have CHIM data available
 - Small trial in a 20/20 active versus placebo setting
 - These are observational trials, although controlled (placebo), statistics are not easy...





Is there a need for human challenge trials (2)

2 reasons:

2) Regulatory

- In case field trials are not possible:
 - Pandemic/epidemic
 - Rare diseases
- A large , well-performed CHIM could replace a field trial

But are the regulators ready to accept CHIM trial data instead of a field trial?





Is there a need for human challenge trials (3)

2 reasons:

2) Regulatory

Major pitfall of CHIM: extrapolation of data:

- CHIM is a highly controlled CTA: how can you extrapolate these data to real world situation?
- Is the in vitro "infection" comparable with real world infection?
 - Concerning the route
 - The dose
- Is the strain comparable with the circulating strain?
- Cross protection?
- If you have 4 different strains (e.g. Flu) can you extrapolate the CHIM data from one strain to the three others?





Is there a need for human challenge trials (4)

2 reasons:

2) Regulatory

Other pitfall of CHIM: production of the challenge strain

- First question GMP or not:
 - Discussion is ongoing, however most Q-experts are pragmatic: GMP-like (light)
 - If possible, yes GMP (COVID-19, influenza virus,...)
 - But if not possible (Schistosomiasis, hookworm, ...) document everything
- Be aware of the timelines:
 - To find a production capacity that is able and willing to produce these strains
 - Setting up a production process: 6-9 months
 - In case of a pandemic,...





Is there a need for human challenge trials (4)

2 reasons:

2) Regulatory

Other pitfall of CHIM: how to execute the cHIM trial

- Deliberate release or containment:
 - Containment: healthy volunteers need to stay 24h-48h-1week-28 days in a closed facility
 - Bio Safety Level (BSL 2 3) facility
 - Access to a BSL3 facility \neq evident
 - Acceptability???
 - Deliberate Release: healthy volunteer can go home, same day
- These measures have to be discussed with the health authorities of the country where the CHIM will be executed





Is there a need for human challenge trials (5)

One precedent: Vaxchora:

• SmPC:

Each dose of vaccine contains 4 x 108 to 2 x 109 viable cells of V. cholerae live, attenuated strain CVD 103-HgR1.

1 Produced by recombinant DNA technology.

Vaxchora is indicated for active immunisation against disease caused by *Vibrio cholerae* serogroup O1 in adults and children aged 2 years and older.

This vaccine should be used in accordance with official recommendations.

• EPAR <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/assessment-report/vaxchora-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf</u>

Title: A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Efficacy Trial of a Single Dose of Live Oral Cholera Vaccine Candidate, PXVX0200 CVD 103-HgR Strain, in Preventing Cholera following Challenge with Vibrio cholerae O1 El Tor Inaba 10 Days or 3 Months after Vaccination





Is there a need for human challenge trials (6)

But are the regulators ready to accept CHIM trial data instead of a field trial?

The IABS Mombasa meeting gave a full day discussion with regulators (the discussion was led by Marco Cavaleri (EMA) and David Kaslow (US-FDA)

- Idea was not rejected
- Case by case
- Easiness of the extrapolation of the data
- Additional large safety database is a must (>3000 individuals exposure)
- And last but not least, the need for large:
 - PASS: post Authorisation Safety Studies
 - PAES: post Authorisation Effectiveness Studies





Is there a need for human challenge trials (7)

But are the regulators ready to accept CHIM trial data instead of a field trial?

Another example influenza

EMA Influenza guidance:

In principle if a new vaccine for prevention of seasonal influenza is developed that does not have appropriate comparators already authorised or reviewed by EU competent regulatory authorities (e.g. whole virion vaccines, recombinant antigen vaccines), demonstration of efficacy against relevant clinical outcomes in appropriate populations would be required to support authorisation. Applicants are recommended to discuss alternative strategies with competent regulatory authorities during the early stages of clinical development, for example to discuss the possibility of demonstrating efficacy in some age and population sub-groups and extrapolating to others based on immune response data.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/influenza-vaccines-non-clinical-clinicalmodule_en.pdf





Is there a need for human challenge trials (8)

But are the regulators ready to accept CHIM trial data instead of a field trial?

Another example influenza

Are field trials (PCR ILI outcome) for influenza still feasible?

- Risk of dis-match of the WHO strain
- Risk of pandemic strain
- Risk of "poor influenza" season:
 - Learnings from CoVID-19: 1,5m distance; better ventilation of premises; alcohol gel, masks, ...
- Acceptability of immune bridging in the absence of a Correlate of Protection/Surrogate of protection
 - Comparability of Ab titres
 - Affinity and avidity





Some examples of Human challenge models

Challenges with live attenuated vaccines:

• Polio - Rota

Established models:

Malaria:

- ⇒Treatable disease
- \Rightarrow Controlled model was used and published

Cholera

⇒Treatable disease

⇒Controlled model was used for registration: Vaxchora/Dukoral

Influenza

 \Rightarrow Controlled model was used and published





Some examples of Human challenge models

Under development (but some have already been used)

Streptococcus pneumonia	Dengue
Shigella	Covid-19
Salmonella	Zika
Hookworm	ETEC
Schistosomiasis	Noro
Leishmaniosis	Campilobacter

Interesting publication with a nice overview (2018): Meta Roestenberg et al.: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(18)30177-4/fulltext





		Route	Dose	Strain	Endpoints	Estimated number of volunteers	Setting
F	Rhinovirus®	Intranasal	10* TCID50	HRV-16, HRV-39	Viral replication, clinical symptoms	5760	Outpatient
3	nfluenza virus²	Intranasal	10°-10' TCID50	A/Texas/39/91 (H1N1), A/California/2009 (H1N1), A/Wisconsin/67/2005 (H3N2)	Viral shedding in nasal lavage, clinical symptoms	3540	Inpatient quarantin
F	Plasmodium falciparum 11 11	Mosquito bite, intravenous	5 mosquitoes, 3200 PfSPZ challenge	NF135.C10, NF54	Parasitaemia	2650	Outpatient
E	ETEC	Oral	≥5×10" CFU	B7A, H10407, E24377A	Diarrhoea	1215	Outpatient
1	Vibrio cholerae ^{8,33}	Oral	10° CFU	El Tor Inaba N16961, 0139	Diarrhoea	1210	Inpatient
5	S Typhi ¹⁴	Oral	1-5×10°CFU	Quailes	Fever or bacteraemia	1000	Outpatient
F	Respiratory syncytial virus ¹⁵	Intranasal	4log _{ss} PFU/mL	M37, A2	Viral load in nasal lavage, respiratory symptoms	1000	Inpatient quarantine
5	Shigella spp ³⁶	Oral	10-10 ¹⁰ CFU	S flexneri 2457T, S sonnei 53G	Diarrhoea, antibody response	850	Inpatient
1	Norovirus	Oral	48 RT-PCR U	8FIIa, GI.1, GII.4	Gastroenteritis, PCR faeces, ELISA	810	Inpatient
I	Lactobacillus spp ¹⁹	Oral, vaginal	Oral 10° CFU once daily, vaginal 7-5 × 10° CFU	Lrhamnosis GR-1, L reuteri RC-14, L crispatus CTV05	Clinical UTI	800	Outpatient
5	Strept ococcus pneu moniae ²⁰	Intranasal	10°-10° CFU	6B, 23F	Colonisation	790	Outpatient
ł	Haemophilus du creyi ^m	Intra-epidermal and intradermal	10-150 CFU	35 000HP	Pustule formation	550	Outpatient
1	Dengue virus ⁴	Subcutaneous	10' PFU	DEN2∆30	Viraemia, rash, neutropenia	520	Outpatient or inpatient
F	Francisella tular ensis ²⁰	Aerosol	10 ⁴ –10 ^e organisms	SCHU S4	Systemic symptoms	500	Inpatient
1	Neisseria lactamica ¹³	Intranasal	10° CFU	Y92-1009	Colonisation	310	Outpatient
F	Plasmodium vivax ³⁴	Mosquito bite, intravenous	2–10 mosquito bites, 13 000 genome equivalents	Wild-type	Parasitaemia	300	Outpatient
(Campylobacter jeju ni®	Oral	10*-10* CFU	Initially 81-176, now CG8421	Diarrhoea	260	Inpatient
(Cryptosporidium spp ^{%, ह}	Oral	10-10° oocysts	Cmuris: RN66, Cmeleagridis: TU1867, Chominis: Iowa strain, Cparvum: Iowa strain	Stool oocysts	260	Outpatient
1	Necator americanus ²⁸	Transdermal	10-50 L3 larvae	Papua New Guinea	Eggs in stool	250	Outpatient
E	Escherichia coli (UTI)»	Urethral catheter	10°-10° CFU/mL	83792, HU2117	Clinical UTI	200	Outpatient
E	BCG ¹⁰	Intradermal	1-4×10°CFU	BCG	Immune response	140	Outpatient
1	Neisseria gonarrhoeae ³¹	Urethral catheter	1-8×10° CFU (Ms11mkC), 1-0×10° CFU (FA1090)	FA1090, MS11mkC	Colonisation	140	Outpatient
(Giardia Iamblia®	Oral	5-10° trophozoites	GS-M83/85	Cysts in stool, antibody response	120	Inpatient
ŀ	Helicobacter pylori ³³	Oral	10" CFU	Baylor 100	Urea breath test, histology	80	Outpatient
5	S Paratyphi ¹⁹	Oral	1-5×10° CFU	NVGH308 strain	Fever or bacteraemia	40	Outpatient
F	Parvovirus B19 ³⁵	Nasal	Up to 5 [∞] viral genomes	Wild-type	Viraemia	12	Inpatient isolation



Some examples of Human challenge models

Covid-19

Two Covid-19 challenge studies have been started (in the UK)

 Covid-19 study characterization study: study is currently ongoing (run by hVIVO / sponsor Imperial College)

https://www.gov.uk/government/news/worlds-first-coronavirus-human-challengestudy-receives-ethics-approval-in-the-uk

https://www.imperial.ac.uk/news/218294/first-volunteers-covid-19-human-challengestudy

 Covid-19 challenge study by Oxford, studying immune response when reinfecting with Covid

https://www.ox.ac.uk/news/2021-04-19-human-challenge-trial-launches-studyimmune-response-covid-19





Conclusions

CHIM is a promising model, however...

- Ethical challenges are big
- Practical challenges:
 - Production of the challenge strain
 - Extrapolation of data
 - Which strain to chose
 - How to execute:
 - Deliberate release
 - Containment
 - How to extrapolate
 - Are CHIM data representative for Real World situation?
 - Are CHIM data acceptable for Regulatory approval?
- Early discussion with the NRA's is crucial!



